Labuan Bulletin of International Business & Finance

Volume 18 Issue 1 eISSN 2600-7894



COVID-19 CRISIS' CONSUMER IMPACT: DEVELOPING AN ADAPTIVE DECISION-MAKING MODEL

Suddin Lada^{1*}, Mohamad Isa Abd. Jalil¹, Joehan Joehari William^{1,} Pg Mohd Faezul Fikri Ag Omar¹

¹Labuan Faculty of International Finance, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Labuan F.T., Malaysia *Corresponding author's email: suddin@ums.edu.my

ABSTRACT

The spread of and reaction to COVID-19 has made our families, organizations, and buyers everywhere are attempting to guarantee that they can adapt to this troublesome time. Everyone must comprehend the seriousness of the outbreak and the extraordinary threat to life posed by COVID-19. Since the movement control order (MCO) was enforced the buying behavior of the average Malaysian has changed. A lot of changes in how people consuming goods and services, forcing retailers to think out of the box to engage with customers. Consumer decision-making, buyer dynamic, and conduct change will quickly adjust dependent on a scope of individual and logical qualities. Our reasoning and arranging about how to explore the world can't depend as much on programmed practices. More than ever, this unprecedented situation has led us to focus on and re-evaluate the existing decision-making model. Therefore, the main objective of this study is to develop an adaptive customer decision-making model focused on the current situation of the outbreak. How have these changes in consumer behavior affected purchasing behavior? How do individuals, families, and businesses react? Building an adaptive decision-making model is significant as the current outbreak situation revealed new and unfamiliar variables and ready for new validation.

JEL classification: M31.

Keywords: Consumer, behavior change, impact, adaptive decision-making model, COVID-19 outbreak.

Received: May 3, 2020 Revised: June 22, 2020 Accepted: June 24, 2020

1. INTRODUCTION

On March 17, Malaysian Prime Minister Muhyiddin Yassin announced the first phase of the MCO after the nation witnessed more and more positive coronavirus, also known as COVID-19, cases being reported. The original MCO, which was supposed to only last for two weeks from March 18 to March 31, was then extended for an additional two weeks as cases of COVID-19 surged to more than 3,000. Under the

MCO stages, all business premises selling day by day basics, including grocery stores and gas stations, are permitted to work in a constrained time (The Star, 2020). A change in buying behavior seems very likely. For the first time, we're locked down, we're at home, we can't go out. There are many predictions of how Malaysian shoppers are likely to change their buying habits during the coronavirus pandemic. We're living in a moment where, while it's incredibly sad and tragic, it's also a moment with enormous opportunity to delve into online shopping and e-commerce (Povera, 2020).

COVID-19 presents several challenges to the manufacturer, retailers, and policymakers. As customers take preventive steps against the virus, their health and financial issues and behavioral changes will continue to have an immense social and economic impact (Nicola, et al., 2020; Buck, et al., 2020). In the affected regions, we are already seeing drastic shifts in consumer behavior, such as bulk sales, an increase in low-contact trade, and crowd-avoidance. Furthermore, COVID-19 triggered chaos, creating chaotic conditions around the world (Sohrabi, et al., 2020). As the disease spreads, uncertainty in other areas is becoming unpredictable, subject to change, and working without common context and predictable patterns. People are adjusting and adapting to their changing, unpredictable situations, and taking various decisions (Dwyer, et al., 2006). Within these unstable environments, there is a role in consumer decision-making. We now need to think about purchasing context or patterns in terms of changing conditions and behavioral shifts. The challenge here is that when the context and pattern in which people live shift, manufacturers, retailers, and consumers will ask themselves how they can adapt and add value in the light of this new reality (Weybrecht, 2020). For now, many of them (especially consumers) seem unsure as to whether or how they should react. The creation of an adaptive decision-making model is critical as the current outbreak situation has exposed new and unknown variables and is ready for further validation.

Since the first models in the 1960s, CDM systems have improved in many respects, but the most commonly accepted models are still not well suited to the current pandemic situation. The aim of this study is, therefore, to develop and establish an adaptive customer decision-making model focused on the current situation of the outbreak. Based on an adaptive consumer decision-making model, there are many ways in which consumers can leverage various factors on decision-making to help them respond to the changing environment and support behavioral change. A systematic framework for understanding and evaluating consumer behavior decision-making aids more successful (Pfeiffer et al, 2009), which will allow consumers to make better choices (Milkman et al, 2009; Daily and Furmanski, 2014).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Customer decision-making is the mechanism by which the consumer chooses a variety of alternatives from the most suitable option (Payyne, et al., 1993). The customer decision-making process consists of a set of actions that the buyer takes to solve a problem or satisfy a need (Williams, 2003; Stankevich, 2017). Decisions may be nuanced, contrasting, assessing, choosing, and buying from a range of items, based on the consumer's opinion on the specific product (Handlechner, 2008). In any case, in the field of buyer behavior, there is presently a defining moment where expanded

mindfulness encourages the making of a progressively solid and hearty CDM model that identifies with customer purchasing decisions during the outbreak.

2.1 Consumer decision-making model

The consumer's decision-making model deals with the consumer decision-making process (Milkman et al, 2009; Stankevich, 2017). Customer decision-making is a complex mechanism that involves everything from problem identification to postpurchase activities (Daily and Furmanski, 2014). Each consumer has different needs in his or her day-to-day life and these are the needs that make different choices. Over the last 50 years, CDM models have been developed and provide work on various constructions in the cultural, marketing, and psychological fields (Payyne, et al., 1993; Milner and Rosenstriech, 2013; Stankevich, 2017). This CDM model consists of three stages the Input stage; the Process stage and the outcome stage where the process required several actions taken by the customer to solve a problem or satisfy a need (Payne and Bettman, 2004). Since the first models in the 1960s, CDM frameworks have developed in many ways, but the most widely accepted models are still not well suited to the current outbreak crisis of COVID-19.

Several theoretical approaches were explored concerning their potential usefulness to the current study. From that preliminary exploration, several notable theoretical models were examined (i.e. Nicosia model (1966); Engel, Kollat, and Blackwell model (1968); Howard and Sheth model (1969); and McCarthy, Perreault and Quester model (1997)). Interestingly, most scholar agrees that the method of making good decisions is a long-standing art that has originated from past human experience and has been distilled into a variety of decision-making models. Besides, many decision-making models share similar features and characteristics but vary in order, area of focus, or underlying assumptions (Daily and Furmanski, 2014). However, for COVID-19 outbreaks and control situations during an actual crisis, time is short and uncertainty is high. It is, therefore, necessary to consider the basics of decision-making to make the right choices. This is equally important to consider whether the CDM model focuses on whether it is for the consumer rather than the marketer. Based on Milner and Rosenstriech (2013), the key drawback of existing models is that it is from the marketer's point of view rather than the customer's point of view (i.e. the Nicosia model), with customer practices only rather loosely described and little empirical research.

Another general critique of the existing model (i.e. Engel, Kollat, and the Blackwell model) is that the mechanistic approach does not extend well to a variety of decision-making situations and that the mechanisms for affecting decision-making have not been well defined (Bray, 2008; Handlechner, 2008; Lovelock & Wirtz 2010). These model shortcomings are of particular significance to the outbreak crisis due to changing patterns in demand and growing competitive pressures (Toepel 2011; Tsiotsou & Wirtz 2012). The circumstance of the COVID-19 condition significantly affects decision-making, especially without other quantifiable quality pointers. While it is increasingly definite (for example Howard and Sheth models) it additionally gives a feeling of nature of the procedure, with numerous factors having different associations with inward procedures and outer causes and data sources (Toepel, 2011). Nevertheless, Howard and Sheth's model suffers from conceptual limitations. Besides, validity problems arise due to lack of analytical research, the use of statistical techniques, the structure of the model, and the use of the constructs (Bareham 2004;

Bray, 2008; Cova & Cova 2009). Proof recommends that buyers won't generally follow the model's whole course and that the model is unreasonably intricate for everyday exchanges (Olshavsky and Granbois, 1979; Bareham, 2004; Teng and Barrows, 2009). This is an important topic for further debate in the context of the COVID-19 crisis. Despite the McCarthy et al. (1997) model with a logical structure and a thorough touch, issues with the representation of a linear process and a lack of clarification as to how psychological variables affect the process tend to be the shortcomings of the model (Milner and Rosenstriech, 2013). As noted above, these concerns are important in the sense of behavioral change during the outbreak crisis. Likewise, the possibility of clients experiencing a direct dynamic cycle is questionable, with the discoveries that specific dynamic procedures incorporate screening, eliminate, and different stages (Aribarg and Foutz, 2009) while settling on utilization choices (Dermody et al., 2009; Macinnis and Folkes, 2010).

In 2017, credit should be given to Stankevich's research by compiling a detailed list of decision-making models from a customer perspective. Table 1 below shows the list of authors and models that significantly contribute to the decision-making literature.

No	Models	Authors/ Year of publication	Description
1	Simon model1	Simon H., 1960	This1 model conceptualizes the decision-making1 process in three1 stages of activities: intelligence1 activity, design activity, and choice1 activity. Simon1 argues that decision1 making is a cognitive process1 that can be separated1 into simple, sequential1 steps.
2	Nicosia model1	Nicosia F.M., 1966	This1 model concentrates1 on the communication1 process that occurs between1 a brand1 and a consumer. It uses a flow1 of events through different1 stages that1 are identified1 as fields.
3	Engel, Kollat & Blackwell model1	Engel J.F., Kollat D.T., and Blackwell R.D., 1968	The components1 of this consumer1 model's decision1 process are the1 following - input, information1 processing, decision1 process, and1 variables influencing1 the decision process. The1 decision process1 component consists1 of five following1 stages – need recognition, search, alternative1 evaluation, purchase, and1 outcomes.
4	Theory of buyer Behaviour1	Sheth J. & Howard J.A., 1969	The1 theory explains1 the buyer1 behaviour of individuals1 over a period. More specifically – the brand1 choice1 behaviour of the1 buyer. The1 authors identify1 the elements of consumer decision1 process (a set of motives; several1 alternative courses1 of action, and1 decision mediators1 by which the motives1 are matched1 with the alternatives), observed1 the changes1 that occur1 in them over1 time as a result1 of their repetitive1 nature and showed1 how a combination1 of decision elements1 affects search processes1 and the1 incorporation of information1 from the buyer's1 commercial and social1 environment. This1 model suggests three1 levels of consumer1 decision-making: extensive problem1 solving limited1 problem solving, and habitual1 response behavior.

 Table 1: Decision-making model.

No	Models	Authors/ Year of publication	Description
5	An alternative conceptualization for consumer behaviour and product performance	Narayana C.L. & Markin R.J., 1975	The1 authors explain1 consumer behavior by describing1 the term1 "evoked set" by including1 and classifying1 all the brands that may1 be in the1 consumer's "awareness set", inert, and1 inept set. They1 presented a conceptual1 framework for1 probable consumer1 behavior when1 faced with a multiplicity1 of brands.
6	Mintzberg model1	Mintzberg H., Raisinghani D. & Theoret A., 1976	The1 key premisel of this1 model1 is1 that a basic1 structure1 underlies1 these "unstructured" processes.
7	Keeney's four-stage decisionmaking model1	Keeney R.L., 1982	This1 four-stage model1 takes a staged approach: Structure1 the decision1 problem (generation of alternatives and specification of objectives), assess1 possible impacts1 of each alternative, determine1 preferences (values) of1 decision- makers, and1 evaluate and compare1 alternatives. This1 model depicts1 the anticipated1 complexities at each1 stage.
8	Rassuli & Harrell Model1	Rassuli K.M. & Harrell G.D., 1990	The1 perspective proposed1 here is that choice1 and purchase can1 be viewed as inputs1 into a process, not1 merely the1 end of consumer1 decision-making1 efforts. In this way, one1 recognizes the1 feedback, from choice1 to other consumer1 behavior variables.
9	Sheth, Newman & Gross model1	Sheth J.N., Newman B.I. & Gross B.L., 1991	This1 model presents1 five consumption values1 influencing consumer1 choice behavior: functional, social, conditional, emotional, and1 epistemic values. Any1 or all of1 the five consumption1 values may influence1 the decision.
10	Smith & Rupp's Model1	Smith A. & Rupp W., 2003	This1 model is an1 Internet-based1 model that takes1 into account external1 influences of website1 marketing, the1 sociocultural environment, and1 psychological issues1 on online consumer1 tasks which is1 followed by purchase1 and post-purchase1 behavior.
11	The Marketing Spiral1	Armano D., 2007	Consumer1 behavior is like1 a spiral that begins1 with interaction as opposed1 to communication. The spiral1 amplifies as the consumer1 increases1 engagement.
12	McKinsey's dynamic model of the consumer decision journey1	Court D., Elzinga D., Mulder S. & Vetnik O.J., 2009	This1 model is more1 circular that sequential1 and has four1 primary phases: initial1 consideration; active1 evaluation, or the process1 of researching1 potential purchases; closure, when1 consumer buy brands; and1 post-purchase, when consumer1 experience1 them.

Table 1 (continued).

Source: Stankevich (2017).

2.2 Adaptive consumer decision making

The Adaptive Decision Maker offers another worldview for responding to inquiries concerning how individuals decide (Payyne, et al., 1993). It concerns how people pick between various blueprints, specifically in conditions of a decision where no single other option (or alternative) is fit to all properties (or attributes, highlights). Adaptive

decision-making is a decision-making mechanism that makes use of both single-loop and double-loop learning and, where possible, cultural unlearning to create the constraints and flexibility required to promote learning and to encourage information development (Wang, 2014). Moreover, it is an issue centered, activity situated participatory system planned for creating useful and the executive's arrangements that partners relate to and consider to be their own (Bauer et al., 2013). This system distinguishes numerous partners that have various convictions and data structures and utilize different ideal models.

The Adaptive Decision-Making Model (ACDM) claims that the issues of preferential choice are typically overcome by the process of knowledge collection and comparison of alternatives and their attributes (Payne and Bettman, 2004). As such, various individuals will incline toward various methodologies and that will likewise fluctuate contingent upon the idea of the person's decision-making circumstance (Beach and Mitchell, 1978; Payne, 1976). In particular to the COVID-19 outbreak, complex contexts, and environments allow consumers to be highly adaptive and modify their behavioral responses to satisfy different functional requirements. Such adaptability can rely on buyers having the necessary complexity to promote efficiency across a range of roles.

"The Adaptive Decision Maker" gives another structure to addressing inquiries concerning how individuals decide (Payyne, et al., 1993). There are 11 components in the new model and they are broadly grouped into three categories: inputs, processes, and outcomes. The adaptive decision-making process (ADMP) is an issue centered, activity situated participatory procedure planned for delivering use, and the executive's techniques that partners concur with and feel like they "own." This procedure perceives various partners who have various qualities and information frameworks and utilize different ideal models. The dynamic contexts and environments of COVID-19 allow consumers to be highly adaptive and change their behavioral responses to satisfy specific position requirements. These adaptabilities can rely on the flexibility required by consumers to promote performance across a range of roles.

3. METHODOLOGY

A new conceptual model is being developed that applies to the outbreak crisis of COVID-19. This research, therefore, reviews and examines the existing CDM models for the identification of key components, intending to apply the related elements as part of a new conceptual CDM model for the COVID-19 outbreak crisis. An exploration of research into consumer behavior concerning COVID-19 supports the development of the new model. Besides, the current model better mirrors the iterative decision-making process explicit to the conditions of COVID-19 and improves the comprehension of the framework by clients and publicists and along these lines their capacity to misuse it to build the chance of positive results for all. In **Table 2**, the model comprises of three fundamental components: inputs, processes, and outcomes. However, further exploration is required to test the connection between factors in different settings, permitting the model to be refined or potentially approved.

To further advance the work, this study provides a comprehensive literature review of scholarly publications in the field of buying and marketing decision-making. Besides, the paper discusses the new developments and themes emerging. Core models in this field have been evaluated and explored based on related journal articles,

studies, and marketing books. The research design is motivated and guided by the purpose of decision-making analysis; is qualitative and exploratory; and, by conceptualization, seeks to understand the broad nature of the relationship between variables, the components of the problem / the behavioral process phenomenon in customer decision-making.

Components	Elements/ Attributes	
Inputs1	Inputs1 include2 the Purchase3 situation4 (contextual1 and environmental5 variables), Consumer characteristics6 (psychological7 and social influences), and Information8 sources (marketing9 mix and interpersonal).	
Processes62	Processes1 include Physical1 context (channels/movement1 restrictions), Social context1 (norms1 and cultural1 influence), Processing1 (framing1 & integrating1 new information), Motivation1 (emotion & identity), and Ability1 (skills1 & routines).	
Outcomes3	Outcomes1 include1 the Decision1 (that may1 be to abort1 the purchase1), the Purchase1 itself1, and Post-decision1 evaluation.	

 Table 2: Components and attributes of an adaptive decision-making model.

4. PROPOSED ACDM MODEL FOR COVID-19 CRISIS

The conceptual model for ACDM is shown in **Figure 1**. Albeit a portion of the standards of decision-making applies to all types of transactions, the one of a kind states of an abnormal occasion, for example, the flare-up of COVID-19, requires a reframing of the factors and procedures. Hence, the model joins a portion of the components of past CDM models yet makes significant enhancements that are essential to the current coronavirus pandemic. There are 11 qualities in the new model and they are commonly partitioned into three classifications: sources of inputs, processes, and outcomes.

ACDM Inputs - The first segment of the new model is the sources of inputs, involved buy/purchase circumstances, customer attributes, and data/information. During the COVID-19 pandemic, buying conditions are entirely different and contribute to a "new normal" scenario. The question is whether the COVID-19 pandemic would require an unprecedented response to consumer behavior. But once the sense of normality eventually returns, what will "natural" look like? The long-term effect of COVID-19 remains to be determined, but we can see several primary areas in which dramatic changes are likely to be stimulated; purchase situations, consumer characteristics, and information. Fear and confusion are already causing irrational actions, including group activities such as storing extra foods. Hoarding is inevitably going to dissipate. But what long-lasting impact will COVID-19 has on the consumer mind? Like other disasters, the pandemic is going to bring out the best and worst of us.

ACDM Processes - The second significant piece of the proposed decision-making model for the consumer decision-making processes, including "physical context", "social context", "processing", "motivation", and "ability". A significant component of the model is the acknowledgment that these procedures connect as opposed to a customer finishing a straight movement these stages. Information on behavioral

buying forms in this way reveals insight into the brain research of how customers think, feel, talk about, and pick between the current other options (for example products, administrations, and retailers) as well as on how the consumer market environment (e.g. physical context, social context, processing, motivation, and ability) affects consumer motivation and final decision-making. All of this contributes to an appreciation of how marketers should develop their marketing strategies to reach the customer more effectively. The process at this point is the person to the customer as he/she is searching for the best deal. The meaning of the best arrangement/offer dependent on properties that are progressively critical to every client. It could be identified with value, quality, brand, item situating, a spot of procurement (area), ramifications of item use, and so forth.



Figure 1: Conceptual framework of an adaptive decision-making model.

CDM Outcomes - The final part of the model is the results or outcomes, including the choice (that might be to prematurely end the buy), the buy itself, and the postdecision assessment. At this stage, shoppers will assess and audit the item. Was it the best item for the buyer? Have their principles been affirmed? When the consumer feels that the product has met or surpassed the commitments made and their desires, they can eventually become a brand ambassador to influence more future buyers on the second stage of their consumer journey, raising the likelihood of the product being purchased again. At last, the results criticism into both the sources of inputs and (by implication) the processes, through their influence on recollections, mentalities, and convictions.

5. CONCLUSION

Developing an adaptive decision-making model is important as the current outbreak situation has exposed new and unknown variables and is ready for further validation. A new conceptual model is being developed that applies to the outbreak crisis of COVID-19. An essential aspect of the model is the understanding that the components communicate rather than the customer through a linear progression over a sequence of stages. The new model better reflects the iterative dynamic procedure pertinent to purchaser's choice decision while explaining the connections between components of the process, and featuring the job of data as both an information and a key procedure in decision making. The new model better represents the iterative decision-making mechanism applicable to COVID-19 circumstances and improves consumer and marketers' perception of the mechanism and therefore their ability to manipulate it to maximize the probability of successful results for all. Besides, a far-reaching system for comprehension and breaking down consumer behavior decision-making will advance marketing decisions in the specific field, just as furnish policymakers with more knowledge into decision-making to build up progressively powerful decisionmaking guides (Pfeiffer et al, 2009), which can empower customers to settle on better choices (Milkman et al, 2009).

The primary contribution of this investigation is to help those inspired by the subject to rapidly comprehend the key issues and to approach pertinent references. Clearly, it isn't the situation that one model administers all the others, or that it speaks to a methodology that ought to consistently be applied to conditions, for example, COVID-19 specifically. The standards found in Adaptive Consumer Decision-Making (ACDM) models and the connection between them are perplexing. Further work is required to check the connection between factors in different settings, hence permitting the model to be refined as well as approved. It is recommended that academics and practitioners come up with new additions to models that aim to represent the customer as a physical context, a social context, processing, motivation, ability, and the creation of a sense of belonging through consumption. Improved understanding of the ACDM mechanism allows marketers to develop more productive and effective approaches to assist customers through a mutually beneficial method of resolution.

REFERENCES

- Aribarg, A., & Foutz, N. Z. (2009). Category-based screening in choice of complementary products. Journal of Marketing Research, 46(4), 518-530.
- Bareham, J. R. (2004). Can consumers be predicted or are they unmanageable, International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 16(3), pp. 159-165.
- Beach, L. R., and Mitchell, T. R. (1978). A contingency model for the selection of decision strategies. Academy of Management Review, 3, 439-449.
- Bauer, Johannes C., Philipp Schmitt, Vicki G. Morwitz, & Russell S. Winer (2013). Managerial Decision Making in Customer Management: Adaptive, Fast, and Frugal? Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 41(4), 436-55.
- Bray, J. P. (2008). Consumer Behaviour Theory: Approaches and Models. Discussion Paper. Bournemouth University. Bournemouth.
- Buck, T., Arnold, M., Chazan, G., Cookson, C. (2020). Coronavirus declared a pandemic as fears of economic crisis mount. 2020. [Retrieved Online on April 1, 2020]. https://www.ft.com/content/d72f1e54-6396-11ea-b3f3-fe4680ea68b5.
- Cova, B & Cova, V. (2009). Faces of the new consumer: Agenesis of consumer government ability. Recherche et Applications en Marketing (English Edition), vol. 24 no. 3, pp. 81-99.
- Daily, M., and Furmanski, C. (2014). A Review of Time-Critical Decision Making Models and Human Cognitive Processes Conference *Paper in IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings*. January 2006.
- Dermody, J, Hanmar-Lloyd, S & Scullion, R. (2009). Shopping for civic values: Exploring the emergence of civic consumer culture in contemporary western society. Advances in Consumer Research, 36(1), pp. 316-324.
- Dwyer, L.; Forsyth, P.; Spurr, R. (2006). Assessing the economic impacts of events: A computable general equilibrium approach. Journal Travel Research. 45, 59–66.
- Engel, J. F., Kollat, D. T. and Blackwell, R. D. (1968). Consumer Behavior. New York: Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- Handlechner, M. (2008), Consumer behavior in the hospitality industry, GRIN Publishing GmbH, Munich.
- Howard, J. A., and Sheth, J. N. (1969) The Theory of Buyer Behavior. New York: Wiley.
- Lovelock, C, and Wirtz, J. (2010). Services marketing, 7th Ed, Prentice-Hall, London.
- Macinnis, D.J & Folkes, V. S. (2010). The disciplinary status of consumer behavior: A sociology of science perspective on key controversies. Journal of Consumer Research, 36(6), pp. 899-914.
- McCarthy, J. E., Perreault, W. D. and Quester, P. G. (1997). Basic Marketing: A Managerial Approach, 1st Australasian edn. Sydney, Australia: Irwin.
- Milkman, K. L., Chugh, D., and Bazerman, M. H. (2009). How can decision making be improved? Perspectives on Psychological Science 4 (4): 379 383.
- Milner, T., and Rosenstriech, D. (2013) A review of consumer decision-making models and development of a new model for financial services. Article (PDF Available) in Journal of Financial Services Marketing 18(2). June 2013 with 1,098 Reads. DOI: 10.1057/fsm.2013.7.
- Nicola, M., Alsafi, Z., Sohrabi, C., Kerwan, A., Al-Jabir, A., Iosifidis, C., Agha, M., and Aghaf, R. (2020). The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus

pandemic (COVID-19): A review. Int J. Surg. 2020 Jun; 78: 185–193. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018.

- Nicosia, F. M. (1966) Consumer Decision Processes: Marketing and Advertising Implications. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Olshavsky, R. W., & Granbois, D. H. (1979). Consumer Decision Making- Fact or Fiction? Journal of Consumer Research, 6(2), 93-100.
- Pfeiffer, J., Riedl, R., and Rothlauf, F. (2009). On the relationship between interactive decision aids and decision strategies: A theoretical analysis. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 9th Internationale Tagung Wirtschafts Informatik.
- Povera, A. (2020). Scammers taking advantage of Covid-19 crisis. [Retrieved Online on March 28, 2020], at https://www.nst.com.my/news/nation/2020/03/579022/scammers-takingadvantage-covid-19-crisis.
- Payne, J. W. (1976) Task complexity and contingent processing in decision making: an information search and protocol analysis. Organization Behavior and Human Performance, 16, 366-387.
- Payne, J. W., Bettman, J. R., & Johnson, E. J. (1993). The adaptive decision-maker. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173933
- Payne, J.W. and Bettman, J.R (2004) Walking with the scarecrow: the information processing approach to decision research, in D.J. Koehler and N. Harvey (eds), Blackwell Handbook of Judgement and Decision-Making, Blackwell, Oxford pp.110132).
- Sohrabi, C., Alsafi, Z., O'Neill, N., Khan, M., Kerwan, A., Al-Jabir, A. (2020). World Health Organization declares global emergency: a review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) Int. J. Surg. Apr; 76:71–76. 2020.
- Stankevich, A. (2017). Explaining the Consumer Decision-Making Process: Critical Literature Review. Journal of International Business Research and Marketing. Volume 2, Issue 6, 2017.
- Teng, C. C, and Barrows, C. W. (2009). Service orientation: Antecedents, outcomes, and implications for hospitality research and practice. The Service Industry Journal, vol. 29 no. 10, pp. 1413-1435.
- The Star. (2020). Covid-19: Second stage of MCO critical period for Malaysians. [Retrieved Online on April 1, 2020], at https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2020/04/01/covid-19-second-stage-of-mco-critical-period-for-malaysians.
- Toepel, V. (2011). Monitoring consumer attitudes in hospitality services: A market segmentation. International Journal of Tourism Sciences, vol. 11 no. 1, pp. 75-94.
- Tsiotsou, R. H & Wirtz, J. (2012). Consumer behavior in service context", In V. Wells & G. Foxall (eds), Handbook of Developments in Consumer Behaviour, Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd, Northampton, MA, pp. 147-201.
- Wang. (2014). Handbook of Research on Scholarly Publishing and Research Methods Victor X. Wang (Florida Atlantic University, USA) Release Date: December, 2014|Copyright: © 2015 |Pages: 582 ISBN13: 9781466674097|ISBN10: 1466674091|EISBN13: 9781466674103|DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-7409-7 https://www.igi-global.com/book/handbook-research-scholarly-publishingresearch/115506#table-of-contents.

- Weybrecht, G. (2020). Adapting and Leading in the New COVID-19 Reality. [Retrieved Online on April 1, 2020]. Available at: https://www.aacsb.edu/blog/2020/march/adapting-and-leading-in-the-newcovid-19-reality#gsc.tab=0.
- Williams, A. 2003, Understanding the hospitality consumer, 1st ed., Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.